Towards an Efficient Weighted Random Walk Domination

Songsong Mo, Zhifeng Bao, Ping Zhang, Zhiyong Peng



Outline

Problem Definition

- > Applications
- Solutions
- > Experiments

Problem Definition: Weighted Random Walk Model

Probability-aware Random Walk Model (PR)

Edge weight

the probability that a node chooses or affects its neighbors Cost-aware Random Walk Model (CR)



the cost of moving a node to its neighbors

Problem Definition

The expected cost for *u* to hit S via weighted random walk:

 $C_{uS} = egin{cases} \sum_{w_{uS} \in \mathcal{W}_{uS}} |w_{uS}| \mathcal{P}(w_{uS}) & ext{Probability-aware Random Walk Model} \ \sum_{w_{uS} \in \mathcal{W}_{uS}} c(w_{uS}) \mathcal{P}(w_{uS}) & ext{Cost-aware Random Walk Model} \end{cases}$

 C_{uS}^{B} is used to denote the value of C_{uS} bounded by budget B.

Weighted Random Walk Domination (WRWD)

- **Input:** Weighted graph G(V,E); Budget B.
- **Output:** A *k*-size set S ⊆ V that can maximize the budget B to be saved:

$$\mathcal{G}(S) = \sum_{u \in V} (B - C_{uS}^{\mathrm{B}})$$
 Monotonicity & Submodularity

Outline

Problem Definition

- > Applications
- Solutions
- > Experiments



how to select pages in the network so that other users can easily browse these pages?

Applications

Base Station Selection

Data Transmission

↓ Cost-aware Random Walk (energy cost ≤ budget B)

How to choose a node set as base stations to minimize the energy cost?



Outline

Problem Definition

- > Applications
- Solutions
- > Experiments

Solutions: An Overview

	Apply to P-WRWD		Apply to C-WRWD		
Algorithm	Time Complexity	Guarantee	Time Complexity	Guarantee	
DpSel	0(<i>kBn²m</i>)		$O(\delta kBn^2m)$	1 — 1/e	
MatrixSel	0(<i>kBnm</i>)	1 - 1/e	0(<i>δkBnm</i>)		
BoundSel	0(<i>kBnm</i>)		O(<i>δkBnm</i>)		

P-WRWD: the WRWD over PR

C-WRWD: the WRWD over CR

δ: the average of the edge weight

Solutions: A Greedy Framework

K iterations: select the node with the largest marginal gain

Challenge : how to compute C_{uS}^{B} effectively

Strategy:

- Dynamic programming
- Matrix-based solution

approximation ratio: 1-1/e

Solutions : DpSel

Dynamic-programming-based selection (DpSel)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Recursive manner:} \ \ C^{\rm B}_{uS} = \begin{cases} 0 & u \in S \\ 1 & u \notin S, B = 1 \\ 1 + \sum_{v \in V} p_{uv} C^{\rm B-1}_{vS} & u \notin S, B > 1 \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Time Complexity



11

Solutions : MatrixSel

Core idea : Calculate C_{uS}^{B} of all nodes at the same time by the matrix

Trapped Model

Any walk steps after S is visited will have no effect on C_{uS}^B



 $Q_{ar{s}}$ represents the sub-transition matrix corresponding to $ar{s}$

Solutions : MatrixSel

The bridge between C^B_{uS} and $Q_{\bar{s}}$



Observation: The length of random walk w_{uS} equals to $\sum_{v \in \bar{S}} Y(v)$, where Y(v) denotes the frequency of w_{uS} reaching v

Lemma: The sum of the u-th row of $\mathbf{N}_{\bar{s}}$ is equal to C_{uS}^B

Computing $\mathbf{N}_{\bar{s}}\mathbf{I}$ to get C_{uS}^B of all nodes $\mathbf{N}_{\bar{s}} = \left\{\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^1 + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^2 + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^3 + \ldots + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^B\right\}$ Solutions : MatrixSel Computing $\mathbf{N}_{\bar{s}}\mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{N}_{\bar{s}} = \{\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^1 + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^2 + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^3 + \ldots + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^B\}$ Computing $\mathbf{Q}^{B}_{\bar{s}}$ Matrix multiplication needs at least $O(n^{2.37})$ time How to avoid calculating $\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^{B}$ and get $\mathbf{N}_{\bar{s}}\mathbf{I}$ directly and quickly? $\mathbf{N}_{\bar{s}}\mathbf{I} \longleftrightarrow (\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^{1}\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^{2}\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^{3}\mathbf{I} + \ldots + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{s}}^{B}\mathbf{I})$ Matrix multiplication vector $\mathbf{Q}_{ar{\mathbf{s}}}^t = \mathbf{Q}_{ar{\mathbf{s}}} \left(\mathbf{Q}_{ar{\mathbf{s}}}^{t-1} \mathbf{I}
ight)$ Iterative calculation **Time Complexity** Computing $N_{\bar{s}}I \longrightarrow B$ iterations \square Time Complexity O(Bm)Select the node with the largest marginal gain \blacksquare Computing $N_{\bar{s}}IO(n)$ time How to avoid calculating the marginal gain of all nodes? K iterations Time Complexity O(kBnm)

Solutions : BoundSel

Core idea

Submodularity Pruning, if marginal gain of round *i* ≤ upper bound of the marginal gain of round *i*-1



How to estimate the upper bound of the first-round marginal gain of nodes?

Lemma: first-round marginal gain of node v $\mathcal{G}(v,S) \leq (B-\rho)n + \rho + \sum_{t=1}^{\rho-1} (\rho-t)F_v^t$

 F_v^t : the expected number of nodes that can reach v at step t ho : the minimal integer that satisfies $\sum_{t=1}^
ho F_v^t \ge n-1$

15

Solutions : Apply our solutions to C-WRWD



The expected hitting step from u to S in an unweighted graph is equal to the expected hitting cost in an edge-weighted graph.

Outline

Problem Definition

- > Applications
- Solutions
- > Experiments

Experiments: Datasets

Dataset	n	m	maxD	avgD	weighted
WSN	54	2458	54	46	Yes
CELE	297	2345	134	14.5	Yes
Adolescent	2.5k	13k	27	5.2	Yes
CaGrQc	5.2K	28.9K	81	5.5	No
Advogato	6.5K	51k	804	7.8	Yes
CaHepPh	12k	237k	491	19.7	No
CondMat	16k	48k	107	5.9	Yes
Slashdot	77k	937k	2537	12.1	No
YouTube	1135k	2988k	28754	5.3	No

- *n*: node number
- *m*: edge number
- maxD: maximal degree
- **avgD**: average degree

Experiments: Algorithms

- **TopK**: selects the top-k nodes in term of degree as the seeds
- **DpSel:** a dynamic programming based greedy method
- MatrixSel: a matrix-based greedy method
- BoundSel: a bound-based greedy method
- **SamSel:** a sampling-based method proposed in [1]
- ◆ **PageRankSel**: chooses the top-k nodes in terms of the pagerank value

[1] Rong-Hua Li, et. al. 2014. Random-walk domination in large graphs. In ICDE. IEEE, 736–747.





Efficiency of solutions over **PR**





Efficiency of solutions over **CR**

Experiments: Effectiveness



Effectiveness of solutions over PR

Experiments: Effectiveness



Effectiveness of solutions over CR

Experiments: Scalability



Thanks!